

Testing the mechanisms proposed in different models for single-spin asymmetries

C. Boros, Z. Liang, T. Meng and R. Rittel

Institut für Theoretische Physik der FU Berlin, Arnimallee 14, 14195 Berlin, Germany

ABSTRACT

It is shown that the origin of the striking left-right asymmetries observed in single-spin inclusive hadron production processes in high energy hadron-hadron collisions can be traced by performing suitable experiments. Several new experiments are proposed. The possible outcomes are summarized together with those of other relevant experiments which have already been suggested. It is pointed out that the results of such a set of experiments will not only be able to differentiate between existing theoretical models, but also be helpful in locating the source(s) of the observed asymmetries.

This is a short summary of the main ideas and the main results of a recent paper [1], which is available as a preprint since March this year, and was briefly summarized in the HERA workshop.

Recently, much attention has been attracted to the study on left-right asymmetries (A_N) for hadron production in single-spin high energy hadron-hadron collisions, both experimentally [2] and theoretically [3-10]. In contrast to the situation at the beginning of 90's, we have now already many — perhaps too many different mechanisms which can lead to such non-zero A_N 's in the framework of quantum chromodynamics (QCD) and/or quark or quark-parton models. Hence, we think it is not only useful but also necessary to ask: Can we differentiate these different models by performing suitable experiments?

In [1], we showed that this is possible. The reasons are the following: The available competing theoretical models can be mainly divided into the following two classes (1) perturbative QCD based hard scattering models [3-8] and (2) non-perturbative quark-fusion models [10]. In the former, the cross section for inclusively produced hadrons in hadron-hadron collisions is expressed as convolution of (i) the matrix element for the QCD elementary hard scattering process (ii) the momentum distributions of the constituents of the colliding hadrons; and (iii) the fragmentation function of the scattered constituent into the observed hadrons. The asymmetry can originate from any one or more of these three factors. In the latter, hadrons in the fragmentation regions are products of quark-antiquark fusions and the asymmetries originate from the orbital motion of the valence quarks in transversely polarized nucleons and the hadronic surface effect in single-spin hadron-hadron collisions. The basic ingredients of these models are all involved in hadron production in hadron-hadron collisions. But they may not be involved in other reactions. This makes it possible to test them individually by performing suitable experiments. For this purpose, we choose the following set of experiments:

Table: Predictions for left-right asymmetries in the discussed experiments if the asymmetries observed in inclusive hadron production in hadron-hadron collisions originate from the different kinds of effects mentioned in the text.

process	If A_N observed in $p(\uparrow) + p \rightarrow \pi + X$ originates from ...			
	quark distribution function	elementary scattering process	quark fragmentation function	orbital motion & surface effect
$l + p(\uparrow) \rightarrow l + \left(\begin{smallmatrix} \pi^\pm \\ K^+ \end{smallmatrix} \right) + X$ in the current fragmentation region for large Q^2 and large x_B	$A_N = 0$ wrt jet axis	$A_N = 0$ wrt jet axis	$A_N \neq 0$ wrt jet axis	$A_N = 0$ wrt jet axis
	$A_N \neq 0$ wrt γ^* axis	$A_N = 0$ wrt γ^* axis	$A_N \neq 0$ wrt γ^* axis	$A_N = 0$ wrt γ^* axis
$l + p(\uparrow) \rightarrow l + \left(\begin{smallmatrix} \pi^\pm \\ K^+ \end{smallmatrix} \right) + X$ in the target fragmentation region for large Q^2 and large x_B	$A_N \neq 0$	$A_N = 0$	$A_N \neq 0$	$A_N = 0$
$p + p(\uparrow) \rightarrow \left(\begin{smallmatrix} l\bar{l} \\ W^\pm \end{smallmatrix} \right) + X$ in the fragmentation region of $p(\uparrow)$	$A_N \neq 0$	$A_N \approx 0$	$A_N = 0$	$A_N \neq 0$

(A) Perform $l + p(\uparrow) \rightarrow l + \pi + X$ for large x_B (> 0.1 , say) and large Q^2 ($> 10 \text{ GeV}^2$, say) and measure the left-right asymmetry in the current fragmentation region *with respect to the jet axis*. Here, l stands for charged lepton e^- or μ^- ; $x_B \equiv Q^2/(2P \cdot q)$ is the usual Bjorken- x , $Q^2 \equiv -q^2$, and $P, k, k', q \equiv k - k'$ are the four momenta of the proton, incoming lepton, outgoing lepton and the exchanged virtual photon respectively. The transverse momenta of the produced hadrons with respect to this axis come solely from the fragmentation of the quark. Hence, by measuring this transverse momentum distribution, we can directly find out whether the fragmentation function of this polarized quark is asymmetric.

(B) Perform the same kind of experiments as that mentioned in (A) and measure the left-right asymmetry of the produced pions in the current fragmentation region *with respect to the photon direction* in the rest frame of the proton, and examine those events where the lepton plane is perpendicular to the polarization axis of the proton. In such events, the obtained asymmetry should contain the contributions from the intrinsic transverse motion of quarks in the polarized proton and those from the fragmentation of polarized quarks, provided that they indeed exist.

(C) Perform the same kind of experiments as that in (A), but measure the left-right asymmetry *in the target fragmentation region* with respect to the moving direction of the proton in the collider (e.g. HERA) laboratory frame. By doing so, we are looking at the fragmentation products of “the rest of the proton” complementary to the struck quark (from the proton). Since there is no contribution from the elementary hard scattering processes and there is no hadronic surface effect, A_N should be zero if the existence of left-right asymmetries is due to such effects. But, if such asymmetries originate from the fragmentation and/or from the intrinsic

transverse motion of the quarks in the polarized proton, we should also be able to see them here.

(D) Measure the left-right asymmetry A_N for $l\bar{l}$ and/or that for $W^\pm$ in $p(\uparrow) + p(0) \rightarrow l\bar{l}$ or $W^\pm + X$. Here, there is no contribution from the quark fragmentation. Hence, non-zero values for A_N in such processes can only originate from asymmetric quark distributions — including those due to orbital motion of valence quarks and surface effect.

The results of different models for these experiments are summarized in the table.

Last but not least, I would like to mention that Professor S.B. Nurushhev has informed us, at this symposium, that they have discussed the possibility of carrying out such experiments at HERA in connection with HERA-N project, which will be discussed by W.D. Nowak in another session.

- [1] C. Boros, Z. Liang, T. Meng and R. Rittel, FU-Berlin preprint, FUB-HEP/96-4(1996); hep-ph/9603364, also, in Proceedings of the Workshop on the Future Physics at HERA, Sept. 1995 to May 1996.
- [2] S. Saroff et al., Phys. Rev. Lett. **64**, 995 (1990); V.D. Apokin et al., Phys. Lett. **B243**, 461 (1990); FNAL E581/704 Collab., D.L. Adams et al., Phys. Lett. **B261**, 201 (1991); FNAL E704 Collab., D.L. Adams et al., Phys. Lett. **B264**, 462 (1991); and **B276**, 531 (1992); Z. Phys. **C56**, 181 (1992); A. Yokosawa, in *Frontiers of High Energy Spin Physics*, Proceedings of the 10th International Symposium, Nagoya, Japan 1992, edited by T. Hasegawa *et al.* (Universal Academy, Tokyo, 1993); A. Bravar et al., Phys. Rev. Lett. **75**, 3073 (1995); **77**, 2626 (1996); A. Bravar, in these Proceedings.
- [3] G. Kane, J. Pumplin and W. Repko, Phys. Rev. Lett. **41**, 1689 (1978).
- [4] D. Sivers, Phys. Rev. **D41**, 83 (1990) and **D41**, 261 (1991).
- [5] J. Qiu and G. Sterman, Phys. Rev. Lett. **67**, 2264 (1991); A. Schäfer, L. Mankiewicz, P. Gornicki and S. Güllenstern, Phys. Rev. **D47**, 1 (1993).
- [6] J. Collins, Nucl. Phys. **B394**, 169 (1993); and **396**, 161 (1993); J. Collins, S.F. Heppelmann and G.A. Ladinsky, Nucl. Phys. **B420**, 565 (1994).
- [7] A.V. Efremov, V.M. Korotkiyan, and O.V. Teryaev, Phys. Lett. **B 348**, 577 (1995).
- [8] M. Anselmino, M. Boglione, and F. Murgia, Phys. Lett. **B362**, 164 (1995).
- [9] S.M. Troshin and N.E. Tyurin, Phys. Rev. **D52**, 3862 (1995).
- [10] Z. Liang and T. Meng, Z. Phys. **A344**, 171 (1992), Phys. Rev. **D49**, 3759 (1994); C. Boros, Z. Liang and T. Meng, Phys. Rev. Lett. **70**, 1751 (1993), Phys. Rev. **D51**, 4867 (1995), Phys. Rev. **D54**, 4680 (1996); C. Boros and Z. Liang, Phys. Rev. **D53**, R2279 (1996).